Gravitational field of N moving extended bodies in post-Minkowskian approximation

Sven Zschocke, Michael H. Soffel

Lohrmann Observatory,
Dresden Technical University,
Helmholtzstrasse 10,
D-01062 Dresden, Germany

arXiv:1403.5438v1 [astro-ph.IM] 21 Mar 2014

March 24, 2014

High precision astrometry, space missions and certain tests of General Relativity, require the knowledge of the metric tensor of the solar system, or more generally, of a gravitational N-body system. This paper deals with the post-Minkowskian approximation of such a metric tensor in some asymptotically flat space-time, where the full multipole structure, i.e., mass- and spin-multipole moments, of the N bodies will be taken into account.

The metric of arbitrarily shaped, rotating, oscillating and arbitrarily moving bodies of finite extension is presently only known for the case of slowly moving bodies in the post-Newtonian approximation. Presently, the post-Minkowskian metric for arbitrarily moving celestial objects is known only for pointlike bodies with mass-monopoles and spin-dipoles.

This paper deals with two central issues: (1) We first consider a single body with full multipole structure in uniform motion in some suitably chosen global reference system. For this problem a co-moving inertial system of coordinates can be introduced where the metric, outside the body, admits an expansion in terms of Damour-Iyer moments. A Poincaré transformation then yields the corresponding metric tensor in the global system. For the gravitational N body system the metric is obtained by a sum over all bodies of the system. (2) It will be argued why the global metric, exact to post-Minkowskian order, can be obtained by means of an instantaneous Poincaré transformation for the case of pointlike mass-monopoles and spin-dipoles in arbitrary motion.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since exact solutions of Einstein's field equations are available only for highly idealized systems usually one is forced to resort to approximation schemes. One of the most powerful and most important approximation schemes is linearized gravity, where the field equations in harmonic coordinates are simplified to an inhomogeneous wave equation [1, 2]. As it has been shown in [3–5] in the post-Newtonian approximation the metric outside the matter distribution can be expanded in terms of two families of multipole moments: mass multipole moments, M_L, and spin multipole moments, S_L. Later, in post-Minkowskian approximation (i.e., exact to order $\mathcal{O}(G)$) such a set of multipole moments has been introduced by *Damour & Iyer* [6].

For many purposes, for instance for high precision astrometry or fundamental tests of relativity, the knowledge of the global metric of an N-body system in post-Minkowskian approximation is of fundamental importance. Presently the post-Minkowskian metric for arbitrarily moving celestial objects is known only for pointlike bodies with mass-monopoles and spin-dipoles. The metric of arbitrarily shaped, rotating, oscillating and moving bodies is a highly sophisticated and complex problem and is only known for the case of slowly moving bodies in the post-Newtonian approximation [7]. One reason for this complexity is, that one might want to define the multipole moments of a single body in its own rest-frame, with origin close to the body's center of mass; however, if the acceleration of such a 'local' co-moving system is taken into account corresponding multipole moments have been defined only to post-Newtonian order [7, 8].

Thus, in order to study the global metric field in terms of locally defined multipoles of a realistic N-body system such as the solar system, one has to apply further approximations. Accordingly, this will be the strategy of this paper: we will first consider arbitrarily shaped, rotating and oscillating bodies first in uniform motion, and then we treat the problem of arbitrarily moving pointlike bodies with mass monopoles and spin dipoles.

The article is organized as follows: the metric for an extended body with arbitrary Damour-Iyer (DI) moments, defined in a co-moving system, in uniform motion is derived in section II in post-Minkowskian approximation. In section III we consider the post-Minkowskian metric for arbitrarily moving pointlike bodies and show that our results agree with corresponding results from the literature.

II. A UNIFORMLY MOVING BODY: MULTIPOLE EXPANSION TO POST-MINKOWSKIAN ORDER

A. Multipole expansion for a body at rest

Consider a single massive body in some inertial system of coordinates $X^\mu = (cT\,,\,\boldsymbol{X})$. For weak gravitational fields the metric differs only slightly from flat space metric $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}\,(-1,+1,+1,+1)$; we write $G_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + H_{\mu\nu}$.

Damour & Iyer [6] succeeded to show that outside the body the metric in (skeletonized) harmonic gauge admits an expansion in terms of two families of multipole moments, M_L (mass-) and S_L (spin-moments). Their canonical form of the metric tensor in the exterior region reads (from now on all relations will be valid to first order in G, even if this is not

indicated explicitly):

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\mu\nu}_{\rm can}\left(T,\boldsymbol{X}\right) = &+\frac{2\,G}{c^2}\,\delta_{\mu\nu}\sum_{l\geq 0}\frac{\left(-1\right)^l}{l!}\mathcal{D}_L\left[\frac{M_L\left(T_{\rm ret}\right)}{R}\right]\\
&-\frac{8\,G}{c^3}\,\delta_{0\,(\mu}\,\delta_{\nu)\,i}\sum_{l\geq 1}\frac{\left(-1\right)^l}{l!}\,\mathcal{D}_{L-1}\left[\frac{\dot{M}_{i\,L-1}\left(T_{\rm ret}\right)}{R}\right]\\
&-\frac{8\,G}{c^3}\,\delta_{0\,(\mu}\,\delta_{\nu)\,k}\sum_{l\geq 1}\frac{\left(-1\right)^l\,l}{(l+1)!}\,\epsilon_{i\,j\,k}\,\mathcal{D}_{i\,L-1}\left[\frac{S_{j\,L-1}\left(T_{\rm ret}\right)}{R}\right]\\
&+\frac{4\,G}{c^4}\,\delta_{\mu i}\,\delta_{\nu j}\sum_{l\geq 2}\frac{\left(-1\right)^l}{l!}\mathcal{D}_{L-2}\,\left[\frac{\ddot{M}_{ij\,L-2}\left(T_{\rm ret}\right)}{R}\right]\\
&+\frac{8\,G}{c^4}\,\delta_{\mu i}\,\delta_{\nu j}\sum_{l\geq 2}\frac{\left(-1\right)^l\,l}{(l+1)!}\,\mathcal{D}_{k\,L-2}\,\left[\frac{\epsilon_{km\,(\,i}\,\dot{S}_{j\,)\,m\,L-2}\left(T_{\rm ret}\right)}{R}\right].
\end{aligned}
\tag{1}
$$

Here, $A^{(ab)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(A^{ab}+A^{ba}\right)$ is the standard notation for symmetrization, an overdot denotes the derivative with respect to $T_{\rm ret}$, (e.g. $\dot{F}\left(T_{\rm ret}\right)=\dfrac{dF\left(T_{\rm ret}\right)}{dT_{\rm ret}}$ for any function F), and $\delta_{\alpha\beta}=\delta^{\alpha\beta}=\delta^{\beta}_{\alpha}$ is the Kronecker delta. In (1) all multipole moments are taken at the retarded instance of time,

$$
T_{\rm ret}\left(T,\boldsymbol{X}\right)=T-\frac{R}{c}\,,
\tag{2}
$$

with $R=|\boldsymbol{X}|$. The multipole moments, M_L and S_L, are Cartesian symmetric and trace-free (STF) tensors; L is a Cartesian multi-index, i.e., $L=a_1\ldots a_l$, and $\mathcal{D}_L=\partial^l/(\partial X^{a_1}\,\partial X^{a_2}\,...\,\partial X^{a_l})$. Explicit expressions for the multipole moments, M_L and S_L, in post-Minkowskian approximation are given by Eqs. (5.33) - (5.35) in [6].

B. Multipole expansion for a uniformly moving body

Considering a single body in uniform motion we will now attach our inertial coordinates $X^\mu=(cT\,,\,\boldsymbol{X})$ to the body, by choosing its origin near the body's center of mass. The spatial coordinate $\boldsymbol{X}^{\rm CoM}=0$ of center of mass can be defined by the vanishing of the corresponding Damour-Iyer mass-dipole moment $\boldsymbol{M}_a=0$ but we consider the more general case with $\boldsymbol{M}_a\neq 0$ and $\boldsymbol{X}^{\rm CoM}\neq 0$. This coordinate system will be called co-moving in the following (or 'local' in case that the body's velocity is time dependent).

We now consider another inertial (global) system of coordinates $x^\mu=(ct\,,\,\boldsymbol{x})$ in which our body moves with constant velocity $\boldsymbol{v}$. The transformation from local coordinates $X^\mu=(cT,\boldsymbol{X})$ to global coordinates $x^\mu=(ct,\boldsymbol{x})$ for a massive body in uniform motion is given by a Poincaré transformation,

$$
x^\mu\left(X^\alpha\right)=b^\mu+\Lambda^\mu_\alpha\,X^\alpha\,,
\tag{3}
$$

with $\Lambda^0_0=\gamma$, $\Lambda^i_0=\Lambda^0_i=\gamma\,\frac{v_i}{c}$, $\Lambda^j_i=\delta_{ij}+(\gamma-1)\,\frac{v_i\,v_j}{v^2}$, and $b^\mu=(b^0,\boldsymbol{b})$ is a constant four-vector, where $\boldsymbol{b}$ points from the origin $\mathcal{O}$ of global frame to the origin of the co-moving

frame at time $T=0$. Transforming the events $(T,\mathbf{0})$ into the global reference system $(t,\boldsymbol{x})$ yields

$$\boldsymbol{x}_A(t)=\boldsymbol{x}_A(t_0)+\boldsymbol{v}(t-t_0),\quad x_A^i(t_0)=b^i,\,c\,t_0=b^0, \tag{4}$$

where $\boldsymbol{x}_A(t)$ points from the origin of the global system to the origin at the co-moving frame at any time t, and the initial is t_0. The distance R which appears in the co-moving metric (1), can be written in Lorentz invariant form ρ as (cf. Eq. (4.42) in [7], Eq. (10) in [9], Eq. (B.4) in [10])

$$\rho=\frac{|\eta_{\mu\nu}\,u^\mu\,(x^\nu-x_A^\nu(t_{\rm ret}))|}{c}, \tag{5}$$

where $u^\mu=\gamma(c,\boldsymbol{v})$ are the contravariant components of four-velocity of $\mathcal{O}$, and the retarded time is defined by Eq. (7) below. The Lorentz invariant distance (5) can also be written as:

$$\rho=\gamma\left(r(t_{\rm ret})-\frac{\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}(t_{\rm ret})}{c}\right)=\sqrt{r^2(t)+\gamma^2\,\frac{(\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}(t))^2}{c^2}}, \tag{6}$$

where $\boldsymbol{r}(t_{\rm ret})=\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_A(t_{\rm ret})$. The latter form in (6) is sometimes preferable and can be obtained by means of the relation $X^i=\Lambda_a^i\,r^a(t)$; for a very similar consideration see [10]. The retarded time in global coordinates reads

$$t_{\rm ret}=t-\frac{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_A(t_{\rm ret})|}{c}. \tag{7}$$

In general, Eq. (7) is an implicit relation which cannot be resolved analytically for arbitrary worldlines $\boldsymbol{x}_A(t)$ of a massive body. However, for the case of a body in uniform motion (4) one obtains

$$t_{\rm ret}(t,\boldsymbol{x})=t-\gamma^2\,\frac{\boldsymbol{r}(t)\cdot\boldsymbol{v}+\sqrt{c^2\,r^2(t)-(\boldsymbol{r}(t)\times\boldsymbol{v})^2}}{c^2}. \tag{8}$$

Here, $\boldsymbol{r}(t)=\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_A(t)$, and $\boldsymbol{x}_A(t)$ is given by Eq. (4).

Now we consider a relation among the retarded time $T_{\rm ret}$ in the co-moving system of the body and the retarded time $t_{\rm ret}$ in the global system. The retarded time in the co-moving and global system are defined by Eqs. (2) and (7), respectively. In order to find a relation between $T_{\rm ret}$ and $t_{\rm ret}$, we note that the global coordinates of event $(t_{\rm ret},\boldsymbol{x}_A(t_{\rm ret}))$ correspond to the coordinates $(T_{\rm ret},\mathbf{0})$ of the same event in the co-moving frame. The Poincaré transformation of the coordinates of this event yields

$$T_{\rm ret}=\gamma^{-1}(t_{\rm ret}-t_0)\ . \tag{9}$$

Relation (9) can also be obtained directly from the definitions of $T_{\rm ret}$ and $t_{\rm ret}$.

To get the metric in the global system we will transform the spatial derivatives with respect to the co-moving coordinates to derivatives with respect to global coordinates. One obtains

$$\mathcal{D}_L\left[\frac{F(T_{\rm ret})}{R}\right]=\Lambda_{a_1}^{\mu_1}\ldots\Lambda_{a_l}^{\mu_l}\,\partial_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_l}\left[\frac{F(\gamma^{-1}(t_{\rm ret}-t_0))}{\rho(t_{\rm ret})}\right], \tag{10}$$

where F stands for any of the mass or spin multipoles in co-moving coordinates. By means of the invariant form of the distance (5) and with the aid of the derivative operation (10), we are in the position to obtain the global metric in terms of local multipoles for a massive body in uniform motion. Using $g^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} - h^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(G^2)$, $G^{\alpha\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} - H^{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{O}(G^2)$ and relation $\Lambda^{\mu}_{\alpha}\,\Lambda^{\nu}_{\beta}\,\eta^{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$, we obtain from (3) the transformation law of metric perturbation:

$$h^{\mu\nu}_{\rm can}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \Lambda^{\mu}_{\alpha}\,\Lambda^{\nu}_{\beta}\,H^{\alpha\beta}_{\rm can}(T,\boldsymbol{X})\,. \tag{11}$$

Applying the general transformation law (11) to the local metric (1), using the invariant form of the distance (5), the derivative operation (10), we obtain for the metric in global coordinates $(t,\boldsymbol{x})$ the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
h^{\mu\nu}_{\rm can}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) &= \frac{2\,G}{c^2}\,\Lambda^{\mu}_{\alpha}\,\Lambda^{\nu}_{\beta}\,\delta_{\alpha\beta}\sum_{l\geq 0}\frac{(-1)^l}{l!}\,\Lambda^{\mu_1}_{a_1}\ldots\Lambda^{\mu_l}_{a_l}\,\partial_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_l}\left[\frac{M_{a_1\ldots a_l}(T_{\rm ret})}{\rho}\right]\\
&- \frac{8\,G}{c^3}\,\Lambda^{(\mu}_{0}\,\Lambda^{\nu)}_{i}\sum_{l\geq 1}\frac{(-1)^l}{l!}\,\Lambda^{\mu_1}_{a_1}\ldots\Lambda^{\mu_{l-1}}_{a_{l-1}}\,\partial_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{l-1}}\left[\frac{\dot{M}_{ia_1\ldots a_{l-1}}(T_{\rm ret})}{\rho}\right]\\
&- \frac{8\,G}{c^3}\,\Lambda^{(\mu}_{0}\,\Lambda^{\nu)}_{k}\sum_{l\geq 1}\frac{(-1)^l\,l}{(l+1)!}\,\epsilon_{i\,j\,k}\,\Lambda^{\lambda}_{i}\,\Lambda^{\mu_1}_{a_1}\ldots\Lambda^{\mu_{l-1}}_{a_{l-1}}\,\partial_{\lambda\mu_1\ldots\mu_{l-1}}\left[\frac{S_{ja_1\ldots a_{l-1}}(T_{\rm ret})}{\rho}\right]\\
&+ \frac{4\,G}{c^4}\,\Lambda^{\mu}_{i}\,\Lambda^{\nu}_{j}\sum_{l\geq 2}\frac{(-1)^l}{l!}\,\Lambda^{\mu_1}_{a_1}\ldots\Lambda^{\mu_{l-2}}_{a_{l-2}}\,\partial_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{l-2}}\left[\frac{\ddot{M}_{ija_1\ldots a_{l-2}}(T_{\rm ret})}{\rho}\right]\\
&+ \frac{8\,G}{c^4}\,\Lambda^{\mu}_{i}\,\Lambda^{\nu}_{j}\sum_{l\geq 2}\frac{(-1)^l\,l}{(l+1)!}\,\Lambda^{\lambda}_{k}\,\Lambda^{\mu_1}_{a_1}\ldots\Lambda^{\mu_{l-2}}_{a_{l-2}}\,\partial_{\lambda\mu_1\ldots\mu_{l-2}}\left[\frac{\epsilon_{km(i}\,\dot{S}_{j)\,m\,a_1\ldots a_{l-2}}(T_{\rm ret})}{\rho}\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $T_{\rm ret}$ can be expressed in terms of global coordinates by means of (9), and an overdot denotes the derivative with respect to $T_{\rm ret}$. The multipoles in (12) are the local multipoles defined in the co-moving frame of the body under consideration, and they are functions of the retarded time $T_{\rm ret}$. Expression (12) describes the metric of an arbitrarily shaped and arbitrarily oscillating and rotating single massive body in uniform motion. The metric of a system of N uniformly moving gravitating bodies in post-Minkowskian approximation is simply obtained by a summation over the contributions of the N individual bodies.

C. Monopole in uniform motion

Let us consider the simplest case of an extended body with monopole structure. According to Eq. (12), the metric perturbation of a uniformely moving mass-monopole in global coordinates $x^{\mu} = (ct,\boldsymbol{x})$ is given by ($l = 0$ in Eq. (12)):

$$h^{\mu\nu}_{(M)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{2\,G\,M}{c^2}\,\frac{\Lambda^{\mu}_{\alpha}\,\Lambda^{\nu}_{\beta}\,\delta_{\alpha\beta}}{\rho}\,, \tag{13}$$

where M is the invariant rest mass of the body. For the invariant distance ρ we insert expression (6), then we use the relation $c^2\,\Lambda^\mu_\alpha\,\Lambda^\nu_\beta\,\delta_{\alpha\beta} = 2\,u^\mu\,u^\nu + c^2\,\eta^{\mu\nu}$, and obtain

$$h^{\mu\nu}_{(M)}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{4\,G\,M}{c^2}\,\frac{1}{\gamma\left(r\,(t_{\rm ret}) - \dfrac{\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}\,(t_{\rm ret})}{c}\right)}\left(\frac{u^\mu}{c}\frac{u^\nu}{c} + \frac{1}{2}\,\eta^{\mu\nu}\right). \tag{14}$$

This expression coincides with the metric of a pointlike body of mass M, cf. Eq. (14) with Eq. (11) in [11] for the case of uniform motion, i.e. $\boldsymbol{v} = \text{const}$.

D. Spin-dipole in uniform motion

Next we consider an extended massive body with mass monopole M and spin dipole S_i. According to Eq. (12), the metric perturbation of a uniformely moving mass-monopole in global coordinates $x^\mu = (ct, \boldsymbol{x})$ consists of two contributions ($l = 1$ in Eq. (12)):

$$h^{\mu\nu}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = h^{\mu\nu}_{(M)}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) + h^{\mu\nu}_{(S)}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x})\,, \tag{15}$$

where for simplicity we assume in this section that the co-moving system is located at the center of mass of this body, so that $M_a = 0$. The monopole part $h^{\mu\nu}_{(M)}$ is given by Eq. (14), and the spin part $h^{\mu\nu}_{(S)}$, according to (12), is given by

$$h^{\mu\nu}_{(S)}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{4\,G}{c^3}\,\Lambda^{(\mu}_0\,\Lambda^{\nu)}_k\,\epsilon_{i\,j\,k}\,\Lambda^\lambda_i\,\partial_\lambda\,\frac{S_j}{\rho}\,, \tag{16}$$

where S_j is the spin in the local frame $(cT, \boldsymbol{X})$ of the body.

The massive bodies of an N-body system exert a torque on each other leading to a time dependent spin of a body A in the local A-system. Here, we follow the arguments of [12, 13] and will assume that such a local time-dependence is only caused by gravitational interactions and, therefore, are proportional to $\mathcal{O}\,(G)$. Accordingly, the spin of each individual body in its own co-moving system is here assumed to be time independent. The metric of an arbitrarily moving pointlike body with monopole structure and a time-independent spin has been given by Eq. (16) in [11]. Here, we will compare our result with the results in [11] in case of a body in uniform motion.

Because the spin is time-independent in the local frame, the derivative ∂_λ in (16) does not act on the spin vector, and we obtain

$$\Lambda^\alpha_i\,\partial_\alpha\,\frac{S_j}{\rho} = -S_j\,\frac{r^i\,(t) + (\gamma-1)\,\dfrac{v^i}{v^2}\,(\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}\,(t))}{\rho^3}\,. \tag{17}$$

In order to obtain (17), we have used the second expression in (6), the explicit form for the Lorentz matrices, and $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\boldsymbol{r}\,(t) = -\boldsymbol{v}$. By inserting (17) into (16) we obtain

$$h^{\mu\nu}_{(S)}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{4\,G}{c^3}\,\Lambda^{(\mu}_0\,\Lambda^{\nu)}_k\,\epsilon_{i\,j\,k}\;S_j\,\frac{r^i\,(t) + (\gamma-1)\,\dfrac{v^i}{v^2}\,(\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}\,(t))}{\rho^3}\,. \tag{18}$$

Furthermore, we note the relation

$$r^i\,(t) = r^i\,(t_{\rm ret}) - r\,(t_{\rm ret})\;\frac{v^i}{c}\,, \tag{19}$$

which follows from $r^i\,(t) = x^i - x^i_A\,(t)$, $r^i\,(t_{\rm ret}) = x^i - x^i_A\,(t_{\rm ret})$, that means $r^i\,(t) = r^i\,(t_{\rm ret}) + v^i\,(t_{\rm ret} - t)$, and then using relation (7). Thus, by means of (19), we can rewrite (18) as follows:

$$h^{\mu\nu}_{(S)}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{4\,G}{c^3}\;\Lambda^{(\mu}_0\;\Lambda^{\nu)}_k\,\epsilon_{i\,j\,k}\;\;S_j\;\frac{r^i\,(t_{\rm ret}) + (\gamma-1)\;\dfrac{v^i}{v^2}\;(\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}\,(t_{\rm ret})) - \gamma\,r\,(t_{\rm ret})\,\dfrac{v^i}{c}}{\rho^3}\,. \tag{20}$$

Let us briefly note, that from (20) one easily finds that $h^{00}_{(S)} = \mathcal{O}\,(c^{-4})$, $h^{ij}_{(S)} = \mathcal{O}\,(c^{-4})$, while

$$h^{0i}_{(S)}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{2\,G}{c^3}\,\epsilon_{ijk}\,S_j\,\frac{r_k}{r^3} + \mathcal{O}\left(c^{-5}\right), \tag{21}$$

which is the usual Lense-Thirring expression (e.g., [14]). Now we will show the agreement of (20) with Eq. (16) in [11], where some anti-symmetric spin tensor in global coordinates $S^{\alpha\beta}_{\rm global}$ has been employed. To this end we consider each component of the metric tensor (20) separately.

Accordingly, the strategy for the comparison is, first to perform a Lorentz transformation of the spin-part of the metric from co-moving to global frame and second to rewrite the results in terms of the global spin tensor $S^{\mu\nu}_{\rm global}$, Eqs. (A4) and (A5).

1. *Calculation of* $h^{00}_{(S)}$

For the components $\mu = \nu = 0$ we obtain from (20) the following expression:

$$h^{00}_{(S)}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{4\,G}{c^3}\,\gamma^2\;\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{v}}{c}\times\boldsymbol{S}\right)^i\;\frac{r_i\,(t_{\rm ret})}{\rho^3}\,. \tag{22}$$

Now we use the following relation between the spin vector $\boldsymbol{S}$ in the co-moving system and the anti-symmetric spin tensor $S^{\alpha\beta}_{\rm global}$ in the global system, which is shown in Appendix A:

$$\gamma\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{v}}{c}\times\boldsymbol{S}\right)^i = S^{i0}_{\rm global}\,. \tag{23}$$

Inserting (23) into (22) yields

$$h^{00}_{(S)}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{4\,G}{c^3}\;\frac{r_\alpha\,(t_{\rm ret})\;\;S^{\alpha0}_{\rm global}\,u^0}{\rho^3}\,, \tag{24}$$

where the four-vector $r^\alpha = (r,\boldsymbol{r})$ has been introduced. In (24) we have formally extended the summation $r_i\,S^{i0}_{\rm global} = r_\alpha\,S^{\alpha0}_{\rm global}$, because $S^{00}_{\rm global} = 0$ due to the anti-symmetry of the spin tensor; note also $\gamma = u^0/c$ and $S^{\alpha0}_{\rm global}\,u^0 = S^{\alpha(0}_{\rm global}\,u^{0)}$.

2. Calculation of $h^{0\,a}_{(S)}$

Now let us consider the component $\mu = a$ and $\nu = 0$ in (20), which we separate into two terms as follows,

$$h^{a0}_{(S)}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = h^{a0}_{1}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) + h^{a0}_{2}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x})\,, \tag{25}$$

$$h^{a0}_{1}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{2\,G}{c^3}\;\Lambda^{a}_{0}\;\Lambda^{0}_{k}\,\epsilon_{i\,j\,k}\;\;S_j\;\frac{r^i\,(t_{\rm ret}) + (\gamma-1)\;\dfrac{v^i}{v^2}\;(\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}\,(t_{\rm ret})) - \gamma\,r\,(t_{\rm ret})\,\dfrac{v^i}{c}}{\rho^3}\,, \tag{26}$$

$$h^{a0}_{2}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{2\,G}{c^3}\;\Lambda^{0}_{0}\;\Lambda^{a}_{k}\,\epsilon_{i\,j\,k}\;\;S_j\;\frac{r^i\,(t_{\rm ret}) + (\gamma-1)\;\dfrac{v^i}{v^2}\;(\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}\,(t_{\rm ret})) - \gamma\,r\,(t_{\rm ret})\,\dfrac{v^i}{c}}{\rho^3}\,. \tag{27}$$

For the expression (26) we obtain

$$h^{a0}_{1}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{2\,G}{c^4}\;\frac{r_\alpha\,(t_{\rm ret})\;S^{\alpha 0}_{\rm global}\,u^a}{\rho^3}\,, \tag{28}$$

where we used (23) and again extended the summation $r_i\,S^{i0}_{\rm global} = r_\alpha\,S^{\alpha 0}_{\rm global}$; note also $u^a = \gamma\,v^a$. For the term (27) we obtain

$$h^{a0}_{2}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{2\,G}{c^4}\;\frac{r_\alpha\,(t_{\rm ret})\;S^{\alpha a}_{\rm global}\,u^0}{\rho^3}\,. \tag{29}$$

The proof of relation (29) is a bit involved; it can be found in Appendix B. According to (25) we add both terms (28) and (29) together, and obtain by means of symmetrization notation:

$$h^{a0}_{(S)}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{4\,G}{c^4}\;\frac{r_\alpha\,(t_{\rm ret})\;S^{\alpha(a}_{\rm global}\,u^{0)}}{\rho^3}\,. \tag{30}$$

We remark that $h^{a0}_{(S)} = h^{0a}_{(S)}$ as it follows from (20).

3. Calculation of $h^{ab}_{(S)}$

According to (20), we obtain the following components for the spin part of metric tensor,

$$h^{ab}_{(S)}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{4\,G}{c^3}\;\Lambda^{(a}_{0}\;\Lambda^{b)}_{k}\,\epsilon_{i\,j\,k}\;\;S_j\;\frac{r^i\,(t_{\rm ret}) + (\gamma-1)\;\dfrac{v^i}{v^2}\;(\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}\,(t_{\rm ret})) - \gamma\,r\,(t_{\rm ret})\,\dfrac{v^i}{c}}{\rho^3}\,. \tag{31}$$

If we compare expression (31) with expression (27), we recognize that:

$$h^{ab}_{(S)}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = h^{a0}_{2}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x})\;\frac{v^b}{c} + h^{b0}_{2}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x})\;\frac{v^a}{c}\,. \tag{32}$$

In view of relation (32) and by means of (29), we immediately conclude

$$h^{ab}_{(S)}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{4\,G}{c^4}\;\frac{r_\alpha\,(t_{\rm ret})\;S^{\alpha(a}_{\rm global}\,u^{b)}}{\rho^3}\,. \tag{33}$$

4. Collection of terms

Now we collect the results (24), (30) and (33) together and obtain finally

$$h^{\mu\nu}_{(S)}\left(t,\boldsymbol{x}\right)=\frac{4\,G}{c^4}\,\frac{r_\alpha\left(t_{\rm ret}\right)\,S^{\alpha(\mu}_{\rm global}\,u^{\nu)}}{\gamma^3\left(r\left(t_{\rm ret}\right)-\dfrac{\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}\left(t_{\rm ret}\right)}{c}\right)^3}\,, \tag{34}$$

where we have used for the distance ρ the form given by relation (6). The metric (34) for the spin part coincides with the metric given by Eq. (16) in [11] for the case of uniform motion, besides an additional factor γ^{-1} which is missing in Eq. (16) of [11], as it has been noted already in [15]. We note, that the use of a *spin tensor* or *spin vector* is more or less a matter of taste and allows for a more compact notation, but from the physical point of view it is not important at all. However, it is important that the metric (34) is given in terms of *global* spin parameters, while our metric (16) for the spin is given in terms of *local* spin parameters. Here, we have shown that both expressions are equivalent.

III. ARBITRARILY MOVING POINTLIKE BODIES TO POST-MINKOWSKIAN ORDER

A. Instantaneous Poincaré transformation and classical electrodynamics

Consider a pointlike body moving along an arbitrary timelike worldline parametrized by $x^\mu_A\left(T\right)$. At each instant of time we can then introduce an instantaneous inertial system $X^\mu=\left(cT,\boldsymbol{X}\right)$ along the worldline $x^\mu_A\left(T\right)$. The transformation from a global inertial coordinate system $x^\mu=\left(ct,\boldsymbol{x}\right)$ to the instantaneous inertial system is then given by an instantaneous Poincaré transformation, e.g. [16]:

$$x^\mu\left(X^\alpha\right)=b^\mu+\Lambda^\mu_\alpha\left(t\right)\,X^\alpha\,, \tag{35}$$

with $\Lambda^0_0\left(t\right)=\gamma\left(t\right)$, $\Lambda^i_0\left(t\right)=\Lambda^0_i\left(t\right)=\gamma\left(t\right)\,\dfrac{v_i\left(t\right)}{c}$, $\Lambda^j_i\left(t\right)=\delta_{ij}+\left(\gamma\left(t\right)-1\right)\dfrac{v_i\left(t\right)\,v_j\left(t\right)}{v\left(t\right)^2}$. Like in (3) we take $b^\mu=\left(b^0,\boldsymbol{b}\right)$, and $\boldsymbol{b}$ points from the origin of global frame to the origin of the inertial frame at time $T=0$.

We note that the equations of linearized gravity, e.g. Eq. (5.2) in [6], and the equations of classical electrodynamics in the Lorentz-gauge

$$\Box A^\mu\left(t,\boldsymbol{x}\right)=-\frac{4\,\pi}{c}\,j^\mu\left(t,\boldsymbol{x}\right), \tag{36}$$

have the same mathematical structure; here A^μ is the four-potential and j^μ the four-current density. Thus we can use some arguments of classical electrodynamics for our purposes. Especially, the problem of a moving mass-monopole is similar to the problem of a moving point-charge Q in electromagnetism in our approximation.

As is well-known the Liénard-Wiechert potentials for a moving point-charge expressed in terms of retarded time are independent of acceleration. Accordingly, it has been argued in [2, 17] that one might introduce an instantaneous local rest-system as described above and

with the point-charge at its origin at retarded time $t = t_{\rm ret}$ where the four-potential is given by

$$A^{\mu}\left(T, \boldsymbol{X}\right) = \left(\frac{Q}{R}, \boldsymbol{0}\right), \tag{37}$$

where $R = |\boldsymbol{X}|$, and the four velocity of the charge in the local system is $u^{\mu} = (c, \boldsymbol{0})$. The local coordinate distance R has to be replaced by the Lorentz-invariant distance, cf. Eq. (5)

$$\rho = \left| \frac{u_{\mu}\left(t_{\rm ret}\right)\, \left(x^{\mu} - x^{\mu}_{Q}\left(t_{\rm ret}\right)\right)}{c} \right| . \tag{38}$$

Here, u^{μ} is the four-velocity of Q and x^{μ}_{Q} its world-line, both are in the global inertial system. Then an instananeous Poincaré transformation (35) at $t = t_{\rm ret}$ yields the well-known Liénard-Wiechert potential

$$A^{\mu}\left(t, \boldsymbol{x}\right) = \left. \frac{Q\, u^{\mu}\left(t\right)}{\left| u_{\mu}\left(t\right) \left(x^{\mu} - x^{\mu}_{Q}\left(t\right)\right)\right|} \right|_{t=t_{\rm ret}} , \tag{39}$$

where all time-dependent quantities on the right-hand side have to be taken at retarded time $t_{\rm ret}$.

B. Arbitrarily moving mass-monopoles

According to (1), the metric of a pointlike body without spin and in its local rest frame $X^{\mu} = (cT, \boldsymbol{X})$ is given by

$$H^{\alpha\beta}_{(M)}\left(T, \boldsymbol{X}\right) = \frac{2\, G\, M}{c^2\, R}\, \delta_{\alpha\beta} , \tag{40}$$

where M is the mass monopole term M_L defined by Eq. (5.33) in [6] for the special case $l = 0$.

Like in the previous section, for the case of an arbitrarily moving pointlike charge we will perform an instantaneous Poincaré transformation (35) at retarded instant of time $t_{\rm ret}$, and obtain for the global metric

$$h^{\mu\nu}_{(M)}\left(t, \boldsymbol{x}\right) = \frac{2\, G\, M}{c^2} \left. \frac{\Lambda^{\mu}_{\alpha}\left(t\right)\, \Lambda^{\nu}_{\beta}\left(t\right)\, \delta_{\alpha\beta}}{\gamma\left(t\right)\, \left(r\left(t\right) - \dfrac{\boldsymbol{v}\left(t\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{r}\left(t\right)}{c}\right)} \right|_{t=t_{\rm ret}} , \tag{41}$$

where for R we have used the invariant expression (6) for the distance. Now we use the relation $c^2\, \Lambda^{\mu}_{\alpha}\, \Lambda^{\nu}_{\beta}\, \delta_{\alpha\beta} = 2\, u^{\mu}\, u^{\nu} + c^2\, \eta^{\mu\nu}$, and obtain

$$h^{\mu\nu}_{(M)}\left(t, \boldsymbol{x}\right) = \frac{4\, G\, M}{c^2} \left. \frac{1}{\gamma\left(t\right)\, \left(r\left(t\right) - \dfrac{\boldsymbol{v}\left(t\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{r}\left(t\right)}{c}\right)} \left(\frac{u^{\mu}\left(t\right)}{c}\, \frac{u^{\nu}\left(t\right)}{c} + \frac{\eta^{\mu\nu}}{2}\right) \right|_{t=t_{\rm ret}} . \tag{42}$$

This expression describes the metric for an arbitrarily moving pointlike body in post-Minkowskian approximation; cf. Eq. (10) in [9] or Eq. (11) in [11].

C. Arbitrarily moving Spin-dipoles

According to (1), the metric of a massive body with monopole and spin is, in its local rest frame $X^\mu = (cT, \boldsymbol{X})$, given by

$$H^{\alpha\beta}\left(T, \boldsymbol{X}\right) = H^{\alpha\beta}_{(M)}\left(T, \boldsymbol{X}\right) + H^{\alpha\beta}_{(S)}\left(T, \boldsymbol{X}\right), \tag{43}$$

where the monopole part has been given by Eq. (40) and the spin part is given by

$$H^{0a}_{(S)}\left(T, \boldsymbol{X}\right) = -\frac{4\,G}{c^3}\,\epsilon_{a\,b\,c}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial X^b}\,\frac{S_c}{R}\,, \tag{44}$$

while all other components of the spin part vanish: $H^{00}_{(S)} = 0$ and $H^{ij}_{(S)} = 0$. Again, for simplicity we assume here that the co-moving system is located at the center of mass of this body, and we neglect the time-dependence of the spin vector in the local system.

Now we perform an instantaneous Poincaré transformation of the local metric (44), and obtain the spin part in global coordinates for an arbitrarily moving pointlike body with spin:

$$h^{\alpha\beta}_{(S)}\left(t, \boldsymbol{x}\right) = \frac{4\,G}{c^3}\,\Lambda^{(\mu}_{0}\left(t_{\rm ret}\right)\,\Lambda^{\nu)}_{a}\left(t_{\rm ret}\right)\,\epsilon_{a\,b\,c}\,\Lambda^{\lambda}_{b}\left(t_{\rm ret}\right)\,S_c\,\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda}\,\frac{1}{\rho}\,, \tag{45}$$

where for R we have used the invariant expression ρ given by (5) for the distance. By performing the very same steps as described in some detail in section II D, we obtain for (45) the following expression:

$$h^{\mu\nu}_{(S)}\left(t, \boldsymbol{x}\right) = \frac{4\,G}{c^4}\,\left.\frac{r_\alpha\left(t\right)\,S^{\alpha(\mu}_{\rm global}\,u^{\nu)}\left(t\right)}{\gamma^3\left(t\right)\left(r\left(t\right) - \frac{\boldsymbol{v}\left(t\right)\cdot\boldsymbol{r}\left(t\right)}{c}\right)^3}\right|_{t=t_{\rm ret}}. \tag{46}$$

Eq. (46) is the result for the spin part of the metric of an arbitrarily moving pointlike massive body with spin, cf. Eq. (16) in [11]. Recall, that (34) was valid for the case of an extended body but in uniform motion.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Extremely high precision astrometry, high precision space missions and certain tests of General Relativity, require the knowledge of the metric tensor of the solar system, or more generally, of a gravitational N-body system in post-Minkowskian approximation. So far, the metric outside of massive and moving bodies in only known in post-Newtonian approximation. In our study, we have considered the metric of massive bodies in motion in post-Minkowskian approximation, that is valid to any order in velocity v/c. Two different scenarios were on the scope of our investigation: (1) the case of bodies with full mass and spin multipole structure in uniform motion ($\boldsymbol{v} = \text{const}$) in post-Minkowskian approximation, and (2) the case of an arbitrarily moving pointlike body with time-dependent speed $\boldsymbol{v}\left(t\right)$ in post-Minkowskian approximation.

For the first problem, a co-moving inertial system of coordinates has been introduced and the starting point is the local metric given in terms of Damour-Iyer moments. A Poincaré

transformation then yields the metric tensor in the global system (12) in post-Minkowskian approximation. We have demonstrated that our results are in agreement with known results for pointlike masses having monopole and spin structure and moving uniformly.

Then we have derived the global metric for pointlike massive bodies in arbitrary motion having monopole structure (42) and spin structure (46). We have shown that our results are exact to post-Minkowskian order for the problem of mass-monopoles and spin-dipoles in arbitrary motion.

We consider our study as one more step towards the aim of a global metric for a system of arbitrarily shaped and arbitrarily moving massive bodies in post-Minkowskian approximation. However, that issue is highly complex and one encounters many subtle difficulties. It is obvious that for this problem a corresponding accelerated local reference system has to be constructed. It is clear that such a local system can be defined in many different ways (e.g., Fermi normal coordinates or special harmonic ones). As is well known, however, that even in the case of vanishing gravitational fields, i.e., in Minkowski space, such a construction is highly problematic; the reader is referred to [18–24].

Acknowledgment

The authors acknowledge detailed and fruitful discussions with Professor Sergei A. Klioner. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG).

Appendix A: Some relations for the Spin

1. Lorentz transformation of Spin

In the local frame the spin four-vector is denoted by $S^\mu = (0, \boldsymbol{S})$, while in the global system the spin four-vector is denoted by $S^\mu_{\rm global} = \left(S^0_{\rm global}, \boldsymbol{S}_{\rm global}\right)$. The Lorentz transformation for the spin between the co-moving frame co-moving with the massive body and the global frame reads

$$S^i_{\rm global} = \Lambda^i_\mu \, S^\mu = S^i + (\gamma - 1) \, \frac{\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}}{v^2} \, v_i \,, \tag{A1}$$

$$S^0_{\rm global} = \Lambda^0_\mu \, S^\mu = \gamma \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}}{c} \right) . \tag{A2}$$

Note, that the spin four-vector in any Lorentz frame has three independent components only. The transformation (A1) and (A2) agree with Eq. (8) in [11]. The inverse transformation can easily be deduced from Eqs. (A1) and (A2) and is given by

$$S^i = S^i_{\rm global} + \frac{1 - \gamma}{v^2} \, \frac{c}{\gamma} \, S^0_{\rm global} \, v_i \,. \tag{A3}$$

Of course, relation (A3) can also be obtained from the inverse Lorentz transformation.

2. Proof of relation (23)

In [11], some anti-symmetric spin tensor in global coordinates $S^{\alpha\beta}_{\rm global}$ has been employed. Due to the anti-symmetry of this tensor and because of the orthogonality relation $S^{\alpha\beta}_{\rm global}\,u_\beta = 0$, this spin tensor has three independent degrees of freedom like the spin four-vector $S^{\mu}_{\rm global}$, thus both mathematical expressions are on an equal footing. Therefore, the anti-symmetric spin tensor $S^{\alpha\,\beta}_{\rm global}$ and the spin four-vector $S^{\rm global}_{\mu}$ in global coordinates are related to each other by the following relation, cf. Eq. (5) in [11] and cf. Eq. (3.9) in [15]:

$$S^{\alpha\,\beta}_{\rm global} = \eta^{\alpha\,\beta\,\gamma\,\delta}\,S^{\rm global}_{\delta}\,\frac{u_\gamma}{c}\,, \tag{A4}$$

$$S^{\rm global}_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}\,\eta_{\alpha\,\beta\,\gamma\,\delta}\,\frac{u^\beta}{c}\,S^{\gamma\,\delta}_{\rm global}\,, \tag{A5}$$

where (A5) is the inverse of (A4). Here, $\eta^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = -\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\,\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ and $\eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \sqrt{-g}\,\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ are the contravariant and covariant components of the Levi-Civita tensor, respectively, and $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ is the Minkowskian Levi-Civita tensor with $\epsilon_{0123} = 1$. Let us note the following relations of this tensor:

$$\epsilon^{i\,j\,0\,k} = \epsilon^{0\,i\,j\,k} = -\epsilon_{0\,i\,j\,k} = -\epsilon_{i\,j\,k}\,. \tag{A6}$$

In harmonic coordinates $g = -1 + \mathcal{O}\,(G)$, we obtain from Eq. (A4), up to order $\mathcal{O}\,(G)$,

$$S^{a\,0}_{\rm global} = \epsilon^{a\,0\,\gamma\,\delta}\,\frac{u_\gamma}{c}\,S^{\rm global}_{\delta} = \epsilon^{a\,0\,k\,l}\,\frac{u_k}{c}\,S^{\rm global}_{l}\,. \tag{A7}$$

And by means of (A6) we finally arrive at

$$S^{a\,0}_{\rm global} = \epsilon_{a\,k\,l}\,\gamma\,\frac{v_k}{c}\,S^{\rm global}_{l} = \gamma\,\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{v}\times\boldsymbol{S}}{c}\right)^a\,, \tag{A8}$$

where in the last term we have used (A1), i.e. $\boldsymbol{v}\times\boldsymbol{S}_{\rm global} = \boldsymbol{v}\times\boldsymbol{S}$. Eq. (A8) is nothing but relation (23).

Appendix B: Prof of relation (29)

In order to show (29), we insert into Eq. (27) the explicit form for the Lorentz matrix and obtain

$$h^{a0}_{B}\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{2\,G}{c^3}\,\gamma\,\left(\epsilon_{ija}\,S_j + (\gamma-1)\,\frac{v_a\,v_k}{v^2}\,\epsilon_{ijk}\,S_j\right)\,\frac{X_i}{\rho^3}\,, \tag{B1}$$

where we have used the abbreviation

$$X_i = r_i\,(t_{\rm ret}) + (\gamma-1)\,\frac{v_i}{v^2}\,(\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}\,(t_{\rm ret})) - \gamma\,r\,(t_{\rm ret})\,\frac{v_i}{c}\,. \tag{B2}$$

The metric (B1) is still given in terms of the local spin $S^\mu = (0,\boldsymbol{S})$ comoving with the massive body, and we have to transform it into the spin tensor in global coordinates. For

the first term in the parentheses of Eq. (B1) we will use the following relation (a proof is given below):

$$\gamma\,\epsilon_{ija}\,S_j = S^{ai}_{\rm global} + \frac{\gamma-1}{v^2}\,(\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{S})\,\epsilon_{aij}\,v_j\,, \tag{B3}$$

while for the second term in the parentheses of Eq. (B1) we will use relation (23), and then we obtain

$$h^{a0}_B\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{2\,G}{c^3}\,\left(S^{a\,i}_{\rm global} + \frac{\gamma-1}{v^2}\,\frac{c}{\gamma}\,S^{0}_{\rm global}\,\epsilon_{a\,i\,j}\,v_j + \frac{1-\gamma}{v^2}\,v_a\,c\,S^{i\,0}_{\rm global}\right)\,\frac{X_i}{\rho^3}\,. \tag{B4}$$

For the last term in (B3) we have also used relation (A2). The metric (B4) is now given in terms of global spin variables. But we still have to express the second term in (B4) by the global spin tensor. Therefore, we use the following relation, cf. Eq. (A5),

$$S^{0}_{\rm global} = \frac{1}{2}\,\epsilon_{k\,l\,m}\,\frac{u_k}{c}\,S^{l\,m}_{\rm global}\,. \tag{B5}$$

Inserting (B5) into (B4) yields (recall the anti-symmetry of spin-tensor):

$$\begin{aligned} h^{a0}_B\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) &= -\frac{2\,G}{c^3}\,\frac{X_i}{\rho^3} \\ &\times\left(S^{a\,i}_{\rm global} + \frac{\gamma-1}{v^2}\,v_a\,v_b\,S^{i\,b}_{\rm global} + (\gamma-1)\,S^{a\,i}_{\rm global} + \frac{\gamma-1}{v^2}\,v_i\,v_b\,S^{b\,a}_{\rm global} + \frac{1-\gamma}{v^2}\,v_a\,c\,S^{i\,0}_{\rm global}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{B6}$$

where for the second term in the parentheses of Eq. (B4) after inserting (B5) we have used

$$\epsilon_{aij}\,\epsilon_{klm} = \begin{vmatrix} \delta_{ak} & \delta_{al} & \delta_{am} \\ \delta_{ik} & \delta_{il} & \delta_{im} \\ \delta_{jk} & \delta_{jl} & \delta_{jm} \end{vmatrix}\,.$$

We recognize that the second and last term in the parentheses of Eq. (B6) cancel each other, as one can see by using the relation $v_b\,S^{a\,b}_{\rm global} = c\,S^{a\,0}_{\rm global}$ due to $S^{\alpha\,\beta}_{\rm global}\,u_\beta = 0$. For the fourth term in the parentheses of Eq. (B6) we use $v_b\,S^{b\,a}_{\rm global} = -c\,S^{a\,0}_{\rm global}$ and obtain

$$h^{a0}_B\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{2\,G}{c^3}\,\frac{X_i}{\rho^3}\,\left(\gamma\,S^{a\,i}_{\rm global} + \frac{1-\gamma}{v^2}\,v^i\,c\,S^{a\,0}_{\rm global}\right). \tag{B7}$$

Now we reinsert (B2) and obtain, recall $c\,\gamma = u^0$ and $v_i\,S^{a\,i} = c\,S^{a\,0}$,

$$h^{a0}_B\,(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{2\,G}{c^4}\,\frac{r_\gamma\,(t_{\rm ret})\;S^{\gamma a}_{\rm global}\,u^0}{\rho^3}\,, \tag{B8}$$

where we have used the anti-symmetry of the spin-tensor; note $r_\gamma\,(t_{\rm ret}) = (-r(t_{\rm ret}), \boldsymbol{r}(t_{\rm ret}))$, and $r^\gamma = (r(t_{\rm ret}), \boldsymbol{r}(t_{\rm ret}))$. Eq. (B8) is just relation (29).

Finally let us proof relation (B3). We insert the Lorentz transformations (A1) and (A2) into relation (A4) and obtain up to order G,

$$S^{ij}_{\rm global} = \epsilon^{ij\gamma\delta}\, S^{\rm global}_{\delta}\, u_{\gamma}$$

$$= \epsilon^{ijk}\, S_k\, \gamma + \epsilon^{ijk}\, \frac{\gamma-1}{v^2}\, (\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{S})\; v^k\, \gamma - \epsilon^{ijk}\, \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{S}}{c}\right)\, \gamma^2\, \frac{v_k}{c}\,, \qquad \text{(B9)}$$

where we have also used $u_0 = -c\,\gamma$, $u^0 = c\,\gamma$ and $u_k = u^k = \gamma\, v_k$; note $S^{\rm global}_0 = -S^0_{\rm global}$, $\epsilon_{ijk} = \epsilon^{ijk}$, and $\epsilon^{0ijk} = -\epsilon_{0ijk}$. Then, by using the relation $(\gamma-1)\,\gamma - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\gamma^2 = 1-\gamma$, we obtain from Eq. (B9),

$$S^{ij}_{\rm global} = \gamma\, \epsilon_{ijk}\, S_k + \frac{1-\gamma}{v^2}\; (\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{S})\; \epsilon_{ijk}\, v_k\,, \qquad \text{(B10)}$$

which is just relation (B3).

---

[1] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne, J.A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, Palgrave Macmillan (1973).

[2] L.D. Landau, F.M. Lifschitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields*, Addison-Wesley, Fourth Edition, 1975.

[3] K.S. Thorne, *Multipole expansions of gravitational radiation*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **52** (1980) 299.

[4] L. Blanchet, T. Damour, *Radiative gravitational fields in general relativity: I. General structure of the field outside the source*, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London A **320** (1986) 379.

[5] L. Blanchet, T. Damour, *Post-Newtonian generation of gravitational waves*, Annales de I'lnstitut Henri Poincaré I Physique Theorique **50** (1989) 377.

[6] T. Damour, B.R. Iyer, *Multipole analysis for electromagnetism and linearized gravity with irreducible Cartesian tensors*, Physical Review D **43** (1991) 3259.

[7] T. Damour, M. Soffel, Ch.Xu, *General-relativistic celestial mechanics. I. Method and definition of reference systems*, Phys. Rev. D **43** (1991) 3273.

[8] T. Damour, M. Soffel, Ch.Xu, *General-relativistic celestial mechanics. II. Translational equations of motion*, Phys. Rev. D **45** (1992) 1017.

[9] S.M. Kopeikin, G. Schäfer, *Lorentz covariant theory of light propagation in gravitational fields of arbitrary-moving bodies*, Phys. Rev. D **60** (1999) 124002.

[10] S.A. Klioner, *Light propagation in the gravitational field of moving bodies by means of Lorentz transformation I. Mass monopole moving with a constant velocity*, Astronomy and Astrophysics **404** (2003) 783.

[11] S.M. Kopeikin, B. Mashhoon, *Gravitomagnetic-effects in the propagation of electromagnetic waves in variable gravitational fields of arbitrary-moving and spinning bodies*, Phys. Rev. D **65** (2002) 064025.

[12] J. Ibañez, J. Martin, *Gravitational interaction of two spinning particles in general relativity*, Gen. Rel. and Grav. **14** (1982) 439.

[13] J. Ibañez, J. Martin, E. Ruiz, *Gravitational interaction of two spinning particles in general relativity II*, Gen. Rel. and Grav. **16** (1984) 225.

[14] S.A. Klioner, *Influence of the quadrupole field and rotation of objects on light propagation*, Sov. Astron. **35** (1991) 523.

[15] M.H. Brügmann, *Light deflection in the post-linear gravitational field of bounded pointlike masses*, PhD Thesis, Friedrich-Schiller Universität Jena, 2006.
[16] S. Kopeikin, M. Efroimsky, G. Kaplan, "*Relativisitc Celestial Mechanics of the Solar System*, Wiley-VCH, Signapure, 2012.
[17] F. Rohrlich, *Classical Charged Particles*, World Scientific, Third Edition, 2007.
[18] F.K. Manasse, C.W. Misner, J.Math.Phys. **4** (1963) 735.
[19] L.M. Marsh, Am. J. Phys. **33** (1965) 934.
[20] E.A. Desloge, R.J. Philpott, Am. J. Phys. **55** (1987) 252.
[21] W.T. Ni, M. Zimmermann, Gen. Rel. Grav. **26** (1994) 1473.
[22] K.-P. Marzlin, Gen. Rel. Grav. **26** (1994) 619.
[23] K.-P. Marzlin, Phys. Rev. D **50** (1994) 888.
[24] M. Pauri, M. Vallisneri, Found. Phys. Lett **13** (2000) 401.